

## Second Supplementary Agenda

# Communities, Environment and Highways Select Committee



<u>Date and Time</u>	<u>Place</u>	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Web:</u>
Tuesday 19 November 2024 2.00 pm	Council Chamber Woodhatch Place 11 Cockshot Hill Woodhatch Reigate RH2 8EF	Dilip Agarwal Scrutiny Officer dilip.agarwal@surreycc.gov.uk	<a href="https://www.surreycc.gov.uk/council-and-democracy">Council and democracy Surreycc.gov.uk</a>  <b>X:</b> @SCCdemocracy  <b>X</b>

### Committee/Board Members:

Catherine Baart (Earlswood & Reigate South), John Beckett (Ewell), Luke Bennett (Banstead, Woodmansterne & Chipstead), Liz Bowes (Woking South East), Stephen Cooksey (Dorking South & the Holmwoods), Andy MacLeod (Farnham Central), Jan Mason (West Ewell), Cameron McIntosh (Oxted), Lance Spencer (Goldsworth East & Horsell Village) (Vice-Chairman), Richard Tear, Buddhi Weerasinghe (Lower Sunbury & Halliford) and Keith Witham (Worplesdon) (Chairman) Mark Sugden (Vice-Chairman)

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print or braille, or another language, please email Dilip Agarwal, Scrutiny Officer on dilip.agarwal1@surreycc.gov.uk.

This meeting will be held in public at the venue mentioned above and may be webcast live. Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However, by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area or attending online, you are consenting to being filmed and recorded, and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes. If webcast, a recording will be available on the Council's website post-meeting. The live webcast and recording can be accessed via the Council's website: <https://surreycc.public-i.tv/core/portal/home>

If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please email Dilip Agarwal, Scrutiny Officer on dilip.agarwal1@surreycc.gov.uk. Please note that public seating is limited and will be allocated on a first come first served basis.

## AGENDA

### 3b PUBLIC QUESTIONS

(Pages  
5 - 8)

The deadline for public questions is seven days before the meeting (Tuesday, 12 November 2024).

**Terence Herbert**  
**Chief Executive**

Published: Friday, 8 November 2024

## **MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE**

Members of the public and the press may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode during meetings. Public Wi-Fi is available; please ask the committee manager for details.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at Council meetings. Please liaise with the committee manager prior to the start of the meeting so that the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

The use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to any Council equipment or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

Thank you for your co-operation.

## **QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS**

Cabinet and most committees will consider questions by elected Surrey County Council Members and questions and petitions from members of the public who are electors in the Surrey County Council area.

### **Please note the following regarding questions from the public:**

1. Members of the public can submit one written question to a meeting by the deadline stated in the agenda. Questions should relate to general policy and not to detail. Questions are asked and answered in public and cannot relate to “confidential” or “exempt” matters (for example, personal or financial details of an individual); for further advice please contact the committee manager listed on the front page of an agenda.
2. The number of public questions which can be asked at a meeting may not exceed six. Questions which are received after the first six will be held over to the following meeting or dealt with in writing at the Chairman’s discretion.
3. Questions will be taken in the order in which they are received.
4. Questions will be asked and answered without discussion. The Chairman or Cabinet members may decline to answer a question, provide a written reply or nominate another Member to answer the question.
5. Following the initial reply, one supplementary question may be asked by the questioner. The Chairman or Cabinet members may decline to answer a supplementary question.

This page is intentionally left blank

TUESDAY, 19 NOVEMBER 2024

**PUBLIC QUESTIONS**

Q1	<p><b>Submitted by Sam Neatrou</b></p> <p>Q. Why does the cabinet appear to take account of floating/ shared bus stops in their decision when these have been removed from the scheme?</p> <p><b>Answered by Cllr Matt Furniss – Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Economic Growth</b></p> <p>The design of the bus stops in this scheme has been revised, changing from floating bus stops to shared spaces, following consultations with the Surrey Coalition of Disabled People. The updated design now features shared space at all bus stops along the route, with no floating bus stops proposed.</p>
Q2	<p><b>Submitted by Pat Daffarn</b></p> <p>Q. How does the decision of the cabinet take account of the safety of the existing road and path arrangement, or compare this with the safety of the new scheme?</p> <p><b>Answered by Cllr Matt Furniss – Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Economic Growth</b></p> <p>In deciding not to proceed with Section 1, the majority of Cabinet took into account both written and verbal evidence which highlighted concerns over the proposed shared use space and the potential difficulties some users may experience.</p>

<p>Q3</p>	<p><b>Submitted by Terry Newman, London Road Action Group</b></p> <p>Q. If the aim of significantly increasing numbers of active travellers, of reducing traffic congestion and associated pollution, and of avoiding minor and major incidents and accidents, is not demonstrably achieved, will Surrey County Council be in a position to justify the level of expenditure of public finances, or to excuse its accountability, seemingly only having considered the safety of cyclists, and having ignored the risk consequences for all other highway users?</p> <p><b>Answered by Cllr Matt Furniss – Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Economic Growth</b></p> <p>Throughout the design process and extensive engagement, officers have worked closely with Active Travel England on all aspects of the scheme, including financial monitoring. The scheme is focused on enhancing safety for all road users, not just cyclists, and is fully supported by the funding body, Active Travel England.</p>
<p>Q4</p>	<p><b>Submitted by Jim Allen</b></p> <p><i>I personally identified serious safety concerns on this project in August 2020 to the project manager. Separate from full knowledge of the project save basic principles, the Arup report also identified these aspects but dismisses them as ‘irrelevant.’ However, six members of the decision-making body agreed with me that safety would be compromised for all road users if the project went ahead.</i></p> <p>Q. What makes the select committee think that compromising the safety of all road users at a basic practical level will change the starting road width, current demand of vehicles per hour, or provide safety for pedestrians on combined paths from electric bikes?</p> <p><b>Answered by Cllr Matt Furniss – Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Economic Growth</b></p> <p>The select committee has chosen to call in the majority cabinet decision not to proceed with section 1 due to what they think are potential questions over the evidence considered by cabinet in making the decision. The select committee will hear evidence which will form the basis of their recommendation.</p>

<p>Q5</p>	<p><b>Submitted by Doug Clare, G-Bug</b></p> <p>Q. How does the decision of the cabinet take account of all the evidence as to how the new scheme will be SAFER than the existing arrangement for ALL shared path users, including disabled and vulnerable groups, school children, all pedestrians and cyclists?</p> <p><b>Answered by Cllr Matt Furniss – Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Economic Growth</b></p> <p>Cabinet reviewed all the evidence submitted including written and verbal as part of their majority decision, which highlighted concerns over the proposed shared use space and the potential difficulties some users may experience.</p>
<p>Q6</p>	<p><b>Submitted by Oliver Greaves</b></p> <p>Q. Will the committee take the detailed evidence and expert views concerning disabled pedestrians; the significant safety concerns about the scheme and their safety; the fact Arup’s review is limited in scope and the very significant level of public opposition to the scheme and the substantial amount of evidence provided by groups representing into account in making its decision?</p> <p><b>Answered by Cllr Matt Furniss – Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Economic Growth</b></p> <p>The select committee will take into consideration all the evidence presented to them in reviewing the majority cabinet decision taken on 29 October 2024 to determine if they wish to refer the decision back to cabinet for reconsideration.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank